

FIGHT IT WITH . . .

Common Sense®

LEADER IN THE NATION'S FIGHT AGAINST COMMUNISM

© Trade-mark registered 1948 United States Patent Office

"The truth,
the whole truth,
and nothing
but the truth
... without fear
or favor"

Conde McGinley
1899 • FOUNDER • 1983

Issue No. 461

Union, N. J., November 15, 1965

Second Class Postage Paid at Union, New Jersey, U.S.A.

Subscription \$3.00 Yearly

SEATO TREATY EXPOSED

**Vietnam — Smokescreen for UN War designed to further world government
U. S. committed to "No Win" police action. Peace, not victory, stated goal.**

(This letter is addressed to the Governors and members of the various State Legislatures, based upon the assumption that it is too late to alter the course being taken by our Congress, but that ALL SOVEREIGNTY IS VESTED IN THE SEVERAL STATES and we have but to awaken them to their responsibility to preserve our form of government.)

OPEN LETTER TO
GOVERNORS AND LEGISLATORS
OF THE SOVEREIGN STATES:

GENTLEMEN:

The Federal Agency in Washington has now admittedly engaged the Nation in all-out war. And, they have finally told us why—or did they? The President has stated that we are at war in Viet Nam in compliance with our "solemn commitments" under the (U.N.) SEATO treaty; that South Viet Nam had asked us to save her from the "communists", and we are going to save her.

"Just WHO asked for our help?" The Diems? And "we arranged the assassination of the anti-"communist" Diems who were WINNING the war, and have been losing ever since. Is this the NEW American Way?

Surely no responsible citizen believes the Federal Agency has acted in good faith with the Viet Nameese, or with Americans in the Viet Nam situation. In the first place, they have not asked Congress to declare war as required by law. They have used the Diems as excuse for committing our men to an illegal war, for very dubious objectives. If the objectives were honest and tolerable, they would have presented their case to the Congress and the People frankly. And this they have not done. The President's off-side remark that we are in Viet Nam in fulfillment of our "solemn commitments" under the SEATO treaty is the ONLY CLUE we had as to what we are trying to do in Viet Nam. He LET THE TRUTH SLIP OUT during a press conference, and there has been dead silence about the SEATO arrangement ever since. Nobody in Washington wants us to know about SEATO and the U.N. connection with the Viet Nam war. They have repeatedly denied this is a United Nations war. And this is the most reprehensible lie they have ever told.

A SEATO WAR IS A UNITED NATIONS WAR. SEATO is a United Nations Regional Agency, and under Article 53 of the United Nations Charter:

"The Security Council shall, where appropriate, UTILIZE such regional arrangements (the U.N. war is a regional arrangement, - ed.) . . . But NO enforcement action shall be taken WITHOUT THE AUTHORIZATION OF THE SECURITY COUNCIL.

Next we offer Article 54 of the U.N.

"There is no substitute for victory."



General Douglas MacArthur

"I could have won the war in Korea in a maximum of ten days, with considerably fewer casualties . . ."

The UN prevented victory in Korea; it will do so again in Vietnam.

Charter:

"The Security Council shall at all times be kept fully informed of activities undertaken or in contemplation under regional arrangements or by regional agencies . . ."

And Article 4 of the SEATO treaty completes the picture of authority for the war and conduct of it:

"Measures taken under this paragraph (enforcement - ed.) shall be IMMEDIATELY REPORTED to the Security Council of the United Nations."

The HEAD of the Security Council of the United Nations is Mr. Vladimir Suslov, a Russian. Once the SEATO treaty was invoked, and the President has said it was, the whole war operation came under the top direction of Mr. Suslov. This is why the Washington Government cannot, and dare not, admit the SEATO treaty is in effect.

If you will re-read Article 53 you will see that we, as signatory members of SEATO could not go into Viet Nam EXCEPT UNDER THE AUTHORIZATION of the Security Council. Can you picture Mr. Johnson or Mr. McNamara going on T.V. and telling the American people this fantastic truth — that their sons are being sent to the sink holes of Asia to "fight 'Communists'" while every action taken OR CONTEMPLATED is reported to the Russian Suslov, sitting in United Nations Headquarters in New York?

But let us see how it was done in Korea, then we will know what to expect in Viet Nam. Keeping in mind always that the Russian Suslov now has complete AUTHORITY to SURRENDER our troops, we can decide whether such a surrender in Korea was not actually contemplated and was averted only because of MacArthur's incomparable Inchon Landing.

Unquestionably the Korean War was a Council on Foreign Relations project. Their member agent, Dean Acheson, law partner of Donald Hiss, set the whole thing up by publicly declaring "we" would not defend South Korea. Then, when the North Koreans attacked, the United Nations declared "peace" against North Korea and called up our troops, as they are authorized to do under the Charter. We had the same disreputable crew in the State Department then that we have now, and when the war was authorized by U.N. and its Security Council, the State Department hands had the proclamation prepared and in due time President Truman issued it. Congress was not consulted then either. We were in the United Nations and had agreed in Article 24, viz:

"In order to insure prompt and effective action by the United Nations its members CONFER UPON THE SECURITY COUNCIL primary responsibility . . . and agree that in carrying out this responsibility the SECURITY COUNCIL ACTS ON THEIR BEHALF."

Under the United Nations Charter to which we are totally committed, we have TRANSFERRED RESPONSIBILITY for taking this Nation to war FROM CONGRESS TO THE SECURITY COUNCIL of the U.N. The "Constitution Be Damned."

Having transferred full control of our Armed Forces to the United Nations, let us examine the manner in which these troops were employed in the Korean War. The war was planned well in advance; even the provocation was planned and invited.

And as soon as the war was started, the Russian Lt. Gen. Vasiliev, who was at the time CHAIRMAN of the United Nations MILITARY STAFF COMMITTEE, took a leave of absence, and went to Korea to

—o— Please turn to page 2 —o—

WE NEED YOUR HELP

Our supply of newsprint is almost gone. Due to financial and other complications, we will need \$3,000 to purchase a carload. Obtaining newsprint is not our ONLY immediate problem, but it is our FIRST. We do not know what the future holds, but, God willing, we pledge to use every pound of newsprint to spread the truth.

SEATO TREATY

— Continued from page 1 —
LEAD THE RED CHINESE TROOPS AGAINST THE UNITED NATIONS ARMY, principally OURS.

Gentlemen, this is a PROVABLE fact. This is the sort of hell-inspired outfit OUR NATION has been delivered up to YOUR United States Senate. This is the treacherous enemy of civilization now in full control of the war in Viet Nam.

In Korea, as in Viet Nam, all activities of ACTIONS CONTEMPLATED were reported to the Security Council whose head was ANOTHER RUSSIAN Constantin Zinchenko. It was he who received reports from Gen. MacArthur, apparently through the STATE DEPARTMENT, passed upon the reports, and the "actions contemplated", then informed the "communist" commanders in the field.

In previous letters we quoted extensively from the sworn testimony of our highest military commanders, WHO WERE THERE, and from the declassified Defense Department document dated May 15, 1954 captioned "The Truth About Soviet Involvement In The Korean War." This document admits that VASILIEV, the on-leave chairman of the U.N. Military Staff Committee, commanded the enemy troops.

Secrecy and duplicity are keystones of the United Nations. THIS MUST BE. They could not exist otherwise. So during the Korean War they feared the highly intelligent Commanders in the Field would become aware of the Top Command back in U.N. headquarters, and in order to conceal the position and performance of Mr. Zinchenko, the Secretary General, Trygve Lie, who himself had spent much time in the Soviet Union and was rumored to have served on the Presidium, established a three man committee known as the Executive Military Authority which would have great powers—under Zinchenko, of course, — including the right TO FIRE THE COMMANDER-IN-CHIEF which was the U.N. title conferred upon General MacArthur, and TO REPLACE HIM. Details of this performance are recorded in the famous Senate Document No. 87, pages 653 to 659.

In Trygve Lie's book "In the Cause of

Peace", Page 334-5, he tells how he needed "a closer contact" with General MacArthur, and he sent for a very special person — resident of Africa, Col. Alfred G. Katzin who had served in ALLIED INTELLIGENCE during the Second World War. Anything the American Commanders failed to report to the Russian head of the Security Council would be taken care of by the Watch-Dog Katzin. General MacArthur had discussed his idea of an Inchon Landing with Mr. Katzin who opposed it and when MacArthur decided to make the landing, he did not tell Katzin anything about it.

At the time the Landing was decided upon, the top-side directions of Zinchenko had forced our troops into a position where there seemed to be no alternative to surrender, except to be pushed into the sea. And the Inchon Landing which saved the day was the reputed reason for the "firing" of General MacArthur.

Senate Document 87 on Page 654 relates that "the executive military authority should be authorized to designate the Commander-in-Chief of the United Nations forces (in this instance MacArthur - ed.) and to replace him." Thus you will see that President Truman did not "fire" MacArthur. He could not "fire" the Commander-in-Chief of the United Nations armed forces. The executive military authority under Zinchenko had the sole authority to do this. They DARED NOT let the American People know this, as they DARE NOT let us know who is running the war in Viet Nam, so AFTER THE DECISION WAS MADE, they had Mr. Truman speak FOR THEM.

So here we are once again fighting a United Nations war. The questions now arise: WHO is the Vasiliev in North Viet Nam? WHO is the Katzin? WHO is the Commander-in-Chief in that war? The Red Herrings chasing their tails around Washington have ABSOLUTELY NO AUTHORITY over the Viet Nam war. The Russian Suslov has ultimate authority there. HE is the man through whom ALL CONTEMPLATED ACTION must be cleared. He knows how many of our troops will be deployed and WHERE. He will know every move we make, and he will relay all infor-

mation IN ADVANCE to the "Communists".

This is only PART OF THE STORY. All the talk about using atom bombs is sheer nonsense. In addition to top-control of U.N. Military affairs, Mr. Suslov is in COMPLETE CHARGE OF ALL ATOMIC ENERGY and DISARMAMENT.

The Representatives of the Washington government could not wait to get our atomic power transferred to this Russian dominated agency. Within six months after the U.N. was organized a meeting of the U.N. Atomic Energy Commission was held at Hunter College, New York, June 14, 1946, and there the Special Representative of the United States Government, Mr. Bernard Baruch, offered to turn over to this U.N. Commission control of atomic energy which was held by the United States alone.

In his book on page 92, Mr. Lie makes these comments: "The International Atomic Development Authority which he (Baruch) proposed, went far indeed in the direction of real world government . . . It would be a super-national monopoly controlling all production and use of nuclear fuel from the uranium mines to the finished product in all countries. To such an International Authority, once it was established, the United States was prepared to turn over both its stock-pile and its know-how."

This, Gentlemen, is Trygve Lie, the top executive of the United Nations telling his readers what YOUR GOVERNMENT and OURS was doing in the secret conclaves of the United Nations. Mr. Lie had been the candidate of the Russian delegation for his post as Secretary General, and he continued in office long after his term had officially expired because the Russians would not accept a successor. They liked Lie. So, let Lie tell you what happened. The Baruch Plan went far beyond the United Nations Charter in its call upon the National Governments to yield substantial elements of sovereignty to supranational authority. It was a remarkable proposal for any great power to make, and especially remarkable for the United States. Here was a country, for the time being, in SOLE POSSESSION of what many considered as the "ultimate" weapon, proposing to give it up. And to whom would this country of "free enterprise", this country which was the symbol of Capitalism to so much of the world, give up this knowledge and this power? To an agency which would represent a BOLD EXPERIMENT in a worldwide cooperative movement, almost socialism. This would be a case not merely of one government taking over the means of production, but of all governments joining together to create a world monopoly of a revolutionary new source of power and agreeing in advance to yield all rights to veto over the decisions of THE WORLD AUTHORITY.

Later Mr. Lie comments: "There was an ironic aspect of the debate that followed, with the Soviet Union standing up on the Conservative side upholding the thesis that national sovereignty must be preserved unimpaired, while the United States took the radical, internationalist position."

There it is. You have Mr. Lie's word for it. Is this the activity of a debating society dedicated to keeping the peace? As it turned out, the Soviets did not agree to it. They were busy assembling the atomic plants turned over to them by Harry Hopkins and his superiors during World War Two as recorded in Major Jordan's Diaries. They were not about to turn over their atomic energy to anybody. The Soviets quite evidently have more sense than Americans. But the traitors in the Federal Agency never quit. They have already turned over all our atomic submarines to the United Nations NATO agency, and all other nuclear weaponry through Geneva Agreements.

Insane is really not the word for it — TREASON is. Suslov is in charge of all NON "Communist" atomic energy. HE de-

— Please turn to page 4 —

LET'S EXAMINE THE FACTS

WHEN WILL HCUA INVESTIGATE LAW-DEFYING, HATE-PROMOTING, VIOLENCE-INSTIGATING GROUPS SUCH AS NAACP, CORE, SNCC, ZOA, ET AL — ARMS OF THE COMMUNIST OCTOPUS IN USA

As the spotlight beams heavier on the Ku Klux Klan, and the House Committee on Un-American Activities, one finds a complete absence of the tactics that plague every appearance of the House Committee on Un-American Activities—pickets, mock derision, open defiance. Where are the long lines of rabbis and gentlemen of the cloth protesting this invasion of Civil Rights? No page ads in metropolitan dailies by outraged Americans protesting this federal invasion of privacy.

For the moment, what was black becomes white; what was un-American yesterday is today American; what was unconstitutional is now constitutional! For now the HCUA is investigating not the INTERNATIONAL COMMUNIST CONSPIRACY with its spy penetration in our own government, and its stated aim to BURY US, but is investigating an organization whose avowed purpose is to save Christianity and destroy communism.

Why not investigate the ZIONIST ORGANIZATION OF AMERICA (Foreign Agents for the illegitimate State of Israel?) whose members are in key positions in our government? This invisible government has furnished a vast amount of convicted traitors — its diabolical hand is corrupting our country from top to bottom. Let the

HCUA also investigate the ZIONIST ORGANIZATION OF AMERICA and publish findings of both the Klan and the Zionists — and let Mr. and Mrs. America know the whole truth about both of these organizations.

Recently, while watching the "CBS Report" give a highly biased and slanted TV showing on the KKK one wonders why do they not present similar "white papers" on the Communist Party? If they did, it would be just as untruthful, distorted and unreliable, no doubt, as those already given upon the poverty of Appalachia and the farm workers of Florida.

Without holding a brief either for or against the KKK, it appears that Charles Kuralt's description of the enemies of this nation better fits the Communist Party. It, too, is an "invisible empire;" it also conceals its membership; and it does not reveal its assets. Katzenbach's suggestion that required registration of all Klansmen would serve to control them, overlooks his own dereliction of duty in failing to enforce the present law which requires the Communists to register. If registration is so effective we would suggest that he get busy, as Congress directed the Justice Department to do some time ago, and either register the Communists or prosecute them.

A FEW FACTS ABOUT THE KLAN

"I'll bet you will find more good Americans in the Ku Klux Klan than in the A.D.A. (Americans for Democratic Action)." — Edwin A. Walker.

After silence had fallen over the bloody battlefields of the Civil War, came an era in American history so black with human misery and despair that even the passing of a century has been unable to erase this turning back the clock of human progress. From this era, as every honest American knows, the Ku Klux Klan rose to assume the leadership of the Southern people and enable them to regain their rightful places in society.

By 1877, order had been restored in the South, and the Ku Klux Klan was dissolved by proclamation, but with the condition that if ever needed, it could be brought to life once again to engage any and all enemies of the South or this Great Nation.

On Friday, March 26, 1965, President Lyndon Johnson warned every Klansman to get out of the Ku Klux Klan and to join a "decent" society as the Klan was going to be investigated and destroyed. Subsequently, the House Committee on Un-American Activities, of which Rep. Edwin Willis is Chairman, began closed hearings on Klan activities and scheduled open hearings for October.

However, more than a month before LBJ's public statement on "getting the Klan," Louisiana State Senator Jesse Knowles, Chairman of the Louisiana Joint Legislative Committee on Un-American Activities, received this letter, dated February 19, 1965:

"Since reading an article in the Shreveport Times last Saturday, February 13, under dateline Washington and AP, I have been somewhat perplexed. It concerned an investigation of the Ku Klux Klan, a general term, along with three other organizations.

"Now, Mr. Knowles, I have invited Mr. Edwin E. Willis, whom I understand you know, and have welcomed an investigation of United Klans of America, Inc., and have requested that the findings of HCUA be published.

"By virtue of your relationship with the Joint Legislative Committee on Un-American Activities, I also invite your committee to investigate our organization also and make public your findings.

"If you would be so kind as to encourage Mr. Willis to investigate us and publish his findings, I would appreciate it very much.

Yours for God and Country,

(Signed)

J. M. Edwards - Grand Dragon
United Klans of America, Inc."

The Louisiana Joint Legislative Committee on Un-American Activities took Mr. Edwards up on his invitation to investigate

his organization, and on July 26, 1965 they released Report #7 — "Activities of the Ku Klux Klan . . .", based on hearings held on June 16, 1965 at Baton Rouge.

The Louisiana Committee found that there is no such thing as "The Klan." According to their report, there are about 14 different groups using the name "Klan," operating in the Southern and Central United States. The Legislative Committee investigated the four Klan groups which are active in Louisiana.

Of these four Louisiana Klan organizations, the largest is known as "The Original Knights of the Ku Klux Klan." The head of this latter organization is Murray Martin, who furnished the Legislative Committee with a policy statement containing "50 reasons why you should be a member of the original Ku Klux Klan." Here are a few sample reasons:

"20. Because it believes that Judaism is not the religion of the Bible, but that it is a set of principles for the purpose of elevating the Christless Jew beyond the White races.

"40. Because it is unalterably opposed to the Zionist Jew program.

"41. Because it is opposed to the Anti-Defamation League in all respects.

"42. Because it knows the United Nations Charter has usurped the Constitution of these United States, and we are trying to get the United States out of the U.N."

The three other Louisiana Klan organizations are known as The United Klans of America, Inc., The Louisiana Ku Klux Klan, and The Original Ku Klux Klan of America, Inc., led by Houston Morris. Mr. Morris submitted a policy statement to the Louisiana Legislative Committee which said in part:

"The Original Ku Klux Klan is not and never has been the enemy of the Negro people. However, it does oppose and will continue to oppose all so-called Negro 'civil rights' organizations, Communists, and all others who sow the seeds of discontent throughout our great Nation, pitting one race against the other, attempting to mongrelize the races . . .

"The White race has always been the only true friend to the Negro in America, but they are now being misled by the wild promises of the Zionist Jew who know that these promises can never be fulfilled, but are using the passions of the Negro as a tool for their own enrichment.

"The Original Ku Klux Klan always has been and always shall be opposed to Communism in all its forms . . .

"No Zionist Jew may ever obtain membership in the Original Ku Klux Klan of America because we accept only those who profess and practice the tenets of Christianity. Christ is the Klansman's criterion of character and such would be incompatible with the Zionist Jew's religious convictions."

KKK VS. ADL

It is apparent that the Ku Klux Klan's "unalterable opposition" to the Zionist Jew program and the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith has made the Klan a target for destruction by none other than the President of the United States.

In June, 1965 the A.D.L. released a "Report on the Ku Klux Klan," written by Ben Epstein and Arnold Forster, who also co-authored the smear book on right-wing groups, "Danger On The Right," which was released last October prior to the national election.

In official Klan literature, the symbol of The Cross is stated to be "a constant reminder that CHRIST is our criterion of character, and His teachings our rule of life . . . We added the fire to signify that 'CHRIST IS THE LIGHT OF THE WORLD' . . ."

The A.D.L. Forster-Epstein "Report" on the Ku Klux Klan sees it a little differently. On page 17, it is stated that the "Anti-

Defamation League played a leading role in drafting model legislation" which led to the passage of anti-cross burning legislation in 52 key Southern communities following World War II.

On pages 30 through 40 of this A.D.L. Klan Report, under "Violence and Intimidation in the South," are listed various acts committed in the South from September 1962 to April 1965. Here are some of the acts of violence and intimidation committed in the State of Louisiana during that period, according to the A.D.L.:

"Sept. 1, 1962 — Crosses were burned by the Klan in front of the state capitol in Baton Rouge.

"Sept. 1, 1963 — Crosses were burned in front of several schools, one night after a Klan rally.

"Nov. 16, 1963 — Over 1,000 Klansmen assembled amid the glow of burning crosses.

"Feb. 15, 1964 — Klan burned a cross at a meeting.

"Aug. 1, 1964 — A 50-foot cross was burned at a Klan meeting.

"Aug. 15, 1964 — Several crosses were burned."

In 1924, the Louisiana State Legislature passed a Statute (Title 12, Section 401) which required that all secret organizations in Louisiana submit to the Secretary of State annually a membership list, stating the names and addresses of all the members. In 1957 or 1958, the State of Louisiana applied this Statute to the N.A.A.C.P., and the Statute was challenged in the Federal Courts.

In the case of "State ex rel Gremillion vs. NAACP" (reported at 65 Supreme Court Reporter 1373), the United States Supreme Court on May 26, 1961, overthrew the Louisiana Statute, and declared that it was an unconstitutional violation of civil rights.

It is ironic that the NAACP which, among other so-called "civil rights" groups, is so anxious to "unmask the society of hooded bigots," yet was willing to go all the way to the United States Supreme Court in a succeeded effort to keep from revealing the names and addresses of its membership in the State of Louisiana.

At the beginning of their investigation of the Ku Klux Klans in the State of Louisiana, the Joint Legislative Committee on Un-American Activities sent a letter to every District Attorney in the state asking these three questions:

"1. Do you know of any cases resulting in conviction in your jurisdiction within the last five years wherein a criminal act was proven to be instigated or carried out by a Klan organization?

"2. Do you know of any such case wherein it was proven that a criminal act was aided, abetted, counseled, or procured by a Klan organization?

"3. Would you please inform us of the results of the prosecution in any such case?"

The Louisiana Legislative Committee received a totally negative reply from the District Attorneys of the State, with one exception. This case involved a man who was apprehended for painting "KKK" in red paint on walls, garbage cans, and lamp posts in Bossier City, La. He was fired from the Shreveport Police Department and has been expelled from the Ku Klux Klan.

In passing judgment on the Ku Klux Klan, let us remember that our heritage of Americanism is being seriously threatened by a ruthless, anti-Christ Communist conspiracy, aided and abetted by an ever expanding "Great Society" welfare state.

Americanism is humanity's most glorious experiment in the science of living. If this experiment should fail, civilization itself may well perish.

Have you done your part in this fight to preserve our individual freedoms as free men and women, and to insure our children a free and Christian Nation in which to be reared??



"AND I ADVISE... ALL KLANSMEN... TO EMBRACE DECENCY..."

SEATO TREATY

—o— Continued from page 2 —o—

sides when to use it and WHOM to use it against. Just how crazy can we get — WE who submit to this? How long are we going to sit here in full knowledge of the facts and wait for the Soviet controlled Security Council to surrender OUR ARMED FORCES or bomb them into submission? A terrible responsibility rests upon every State official, — and a terrible responsibility rests upon the People to COMPEL STATE RESPONSIBILITY where it is so criminally lacking.

The United Nations is at war with us. They are out to destroy the States and all State Government. The Federal Agency in Washington has joined them and is even now offering up our young men in Viet Nam in the cause of THEIR World Empire. Can you reconcile the Viet Nam war and the Washington Government's conduct of that war with any American standard or principle? Do you believe "we" are "fighting communists" in Viet Nam? Do you UNDERSTAND that the U.N. Secretary General, U. Thant, has already stated that NOBODY will win that United Nations war? Is this satisfactory to you? If not, WHY do you not assume the responsibility of State Officials and launch State investigations into the policies and purpose of the United Nations?

The Sovereign States NEVER AGREED to the Charter of the United Nations. It is UTTERLY UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND ILLEGAL AND IT IS PURPOSELY DESTROYING AMERICA. YOU have an obligation, a far more "solemn commitment" to protect the People in your State than "we" have to advance the SEATO program in Viet Nam.

It is within your power — and your obligation to us, to convene yourselves in legislative assembly to inquire into Federal policies which are patently being concealed. You must realize there IS A REASON for this concealment and it is your duty to

INFORM YOURSELF — INFORM OTHERS

The Reuther Memorandum

by Wm. E. Mallett50
Plans of the Marxist Reuther Brothers, written at the request of Robert Kennedy, to end all effective Rightwing activity in U. S.

The Fearful Master

by G. Edward Griffin 1.00
A hard-hitting, carefully documented book on the U.N.

Treaties Versus the Constitution

by Roger Lea MacBride 1.00
Answers all-important question of the day: Should Constitution be amended to prevent abuse of treaty power?

Secret Government of the U. S.

by Mary Davison 1.00
Comprehensive analysis of the character of the COUNCIL ON FOREIGN RELATIONS.

Common Sense.

UNION, NEW JERSEY, U.S.A.

	U. S.	U. S.
	CANADA	CANADA
	1ST CLASS	2ND CLASS
1 year	\$5.00	\$3.00
2 years	8.00	5.00
3 years	10.00	7.00
Lifetime	—	50.00
Foreign 1st Class — \$10.00 per year		
BULK COPIES — SAME or ASSORTED		
20 copies	—	\$1.00
100 copies	—	4.00
500 copies	—	15.00
1000 copies	—	20.00

Published twice monthly except July and August, once each, by

CHRISTIAN EDUCATIONAL ASSN.
530 Chestnut Street, Union, N. J. 07083

learn that reason. If you cannot or will not act to protect the sons of your States, the People are going to be forced to compel such action. When outraged citizens begin to occupy State Capitols to discuss and demand redress for their grievances, personal cowardice and political expediency will surely be overridden. We, the People, are going to adopt the United Nations approach and tell you, as U.N. tells the Washington agency, IF YOU DON'T DO IT — WE'LL DO IT FOR YOU! We cannot, and we will not permit our Representatives to evade the responsibility to protect OUR YOUNG MEN wherever they are. We can no longer evade OUR OWN RESPONSIBILITY for this U.N. war and all the others to come.

We would greatly desire to work WITH YOU, to cooperate with you as WE ALL explore our desperate situation, and willingly support your every effort in this direction. It is YOUR DUTY TO LEAD. If you fail, the People in the States must take over. We cannot remain leaderless any longer. SOMEBODY MUST GET OUR TROOPS OUT FROM SECURITY COUNCIL CONTROL. We must release them from Mr. Suslov's command. And SOMEHOW — WE WILL!

MARY DAVISON, Editor
Council For Statehood
West Palm Beach, Fla.

NOW IS THE TIME TO WRITE YOUR
STATE LEGISLATORS. IT IS NEAR
FUTILE TO PROTEST TO YOUR CON-
GRESSMEN.

DICTATORSHIP OF THE CONSTITUTION?

Executive Orders viewed as bid
for absolute power

By P. A. del Valle

President, Defenders of the
American Constitution

A brilliant editorial by David Lawrence appearing in the Los Angeles Times, Sunday, 23 March, 1965, begins with this question: "Will the American Constitution — now being arbitrarily transformed by Congress and the Supreme Court without regard to the amending process — be utilized some day by a future President to build a dictatorship within a centralized government in Washington?"

Mr. Lawrence then gives three factual examples of these un-constitutional "amendments" which place the federal government; 1: in control of the business and economic affairs of the entire nation regardless of state lines and jurisdiction; 2: uses an arbitrary interpretation of the 14th Amendment to intervene when state laws happen to be disliked by certain pressure groups; and 3: uses the 15th Amendment as a means of federal control of all elections.

All this is true — tragically true. But there is more on the books than meets the eye. Let all who still care whether we shall be slaves under a dictatorship or a free people under our divinely inspired Christian Constitution, go to their libraries and ask to see the Executive Orders in the "Federal Register."

Initially originating in the Kennedy administration and now firmly imbedded as law, these Executive Orders may be placed into operation whenever a President decides that there is an unstable international situation or a financial crisis. See for yourself!

We shall give you some idea of the significance of these Executive Orders, for they implant the dictatorship and abolish the Constitution on pretext of an "emergency."

The federal authority becomes absolute and ubiquitous. Your home is not yours at all — you may be moved from it, or obliged to house persons indicated by the "authorities." (In Cuba, Castro moved all the well-to-do from hotels and residences and put the "proletariat" into them.)

You may be moved with or without your family and put to work elsewhere as suits the federal agency concerned. You may not go from place to place without a permit.

All vehicles public and private become virtually federal property. Your car may be seized for whatever purpose the federal agents see fit.

Fuel will come under complete federal control, as will all else, food, water, medicine, railways, bus lines, air lines, business of all sorts, the exercise of a profession. But no need to expound what you may yourself read by going to your library. Pray do so, and if you prefer liberty to slavery, make certain the Congress hears your objections by wire, by mail or by a march on Capitol Hill. We are teetering on the edge!

EXECUTIVE ORDERS STILL IN FORCE!

e.o. No. 10995 — Take-over of communications media.

e.o. No. 10997 — Take-over of all electrical power, petroleum and gas, fuels and minerals.

e.o. No. 10998 — Take-over of food resources and farms (including all equipment for farming).

e.o. No. 10999 — Take-over of all modes of transportation and control of highways, seaports, etc.

e.o. No. 11000 — MOBILIZATION — of all civilians into work force under government supervision.

e.o. No. 11001 — GOVERNMENT take-over of all health, education and welfare functions.

e.o. No. 11002 — Designates Postmaster General to operate a national registration of all persons.

e.o. No. 11003 — Government to take over all airports and aircraft.

e.o. No. 11004 — Housing and Finance Authority to relocate communities — build new housing with public funds — designate areas to be abandoned as unsafe — and establish new locations for populations. (Complete integration will be enforced hereby under J.F.K.'s latest executive order against discrimination in housing built with public funds.)

e.o. No. 11005 — Government to take over railroads, inland waterways and public storage facilities.

e.o. No. 110051 — Designates responsibilities of office of emergency planning, gives authorization to put all other executive orders into effect in times of increased international tension or economic or financial crisis.

Part One — Sec. 101 d — herein states (the Director) is to — perform such additional functions as the President may from time to time direct.

Facts: These Executive Orders are duly recorded in the Federal Register and have been adopted or approved by the Congress.

Significance: THIS CAN ONLY MEAN ONE THING. The Congress no longer stands in defense of the people it represents, but has become something like a rubber stamp. It has surrendered its powers to the Executive.

Under these edicts, the complete take-over of the United States from within can take place at any time the Executive declares an "emergency." Emergencies have been manufactured before, and can be again. The only thing that stands between this country and complete socialist slavery is the will of the Executive. There are swarms of advisors surrounding him to keep him from knowing what people think.

Actions Indicated: 1. Flood the Congress with protests calling for the withdrawal of the Executive Orders.

2. Reproduce this alert and pass it on to as many others as you can, asking them in turn to do the same.

3. Bombard the White House with so many protests that the President cannot fail to notice.

4. Pray as you've never prayed before that Almighty and Merciful God may save our country.